NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,	B187181
Plaintiff and Respondent,	(Super. Ct. No. BA 278054)
v.	
GUSTAVO M. TORRES,	
Defendant and Appellant.	

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County.

C. H. Rehm, Judge. Affirmed.

California Appellate Project, Jonathan B. Steiner and Ann Krausz, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

As part of a plea bargain, Gustavo Torres pled no contest to second-degree auto burglary. (Pen. Code, §§ 459, 460, subd. (b).) The trial court imposed but suspended execution of the agreed two-year middle term sentence and placed Torres on probation on condition, among others, that he obey all laws and not possess shaved keys used to gain entry to and steal automobiles. A few months later, Torres was arrested for grand theft auto when the police found him riding in a car which had been stolen 90 minutes earlier. The police recovered two shaved keys from his pocket. After a contested hearing, the court found that Torres had violated his probation and lifted the stay on the previously imposed two-year sentence. Torres appealed.

We appointed counsel to represent Torres on this appeal. After examining the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking us independently to review the record under *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. On February 28, 2006, we advised Torres that he had 30 days within which to submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. To date, we have received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that Torres' attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende, supra,* 25 Cal.3d at p. 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

ROTHSCHILD, J.

We concur:

SPENCER, P. J.

VOGEL, J.